where

$$B(r) = \beta_1 \exp\left[-\frac{r-a_0}{\rho}\right] - \beta_2 \left(\frac{a_0}{r}\right)^6,$$

and  $\Omega_{12}$  specifies the direction of  $r_{12}$  relative to the c-axis. The theoretical values of the parameters are  $\beta_1 = 2.6 \text{ K}, \beta_2 = 1.6 \text{ K}, \text{ and } \rho = 0.283 \text{ Å}; a_0 = 3.75 \text{ Å}$  is the nearest neighbor distance in solid H<sub>2</sub> at P = 0. For computational simplicity, we retain only the m = 0 part of this interaction and have, upon comparison with eq. (10),

$$V^{20}(1,2) = V^{02}(1,2) = (\frac{8}{5}\sqrt{5})\pi B(r) P_2(\cos\theta_{12}), (11)$$

where  $\theta_{12}$  is the angle between  $r_{12}$  and the c-axis;  $P_2$  is the Legendre polynomial of degree two. There is also an anisotropic potential proportional to  $Y_2(\omega_1) Y_2(\omega_2)$ . The dominant part of this is the electric quadrupole–quadrupole (EQQ) interaction which gives

$$V^{22}(1,2) = 20\pi \Gamma_0 P_4(\cos \theta_{12}) \left(\frac{a_0}{r}\right)^5, \quad \boxed{(12)}$$

where  $\Gamma_0 = 1$  K. Once again, we neglect terms with  $m \neq 0$ . Finally, there should also be terms in eq. (10) proportional to  $Y_{2l}(\omega_1)$  and  $Y_{2l}(\omega_2)$ ; the sum of all neglected terms is less than about 10% of  $V^{20}$  or  $V^{02}$  for all molar volumes treated here. In order to be consistent in this regard, we systematically ignore all terms involving  $Y_4(\omega_1)$  and  $Y_4(\omega_2)$  in what follows.

It is interesting to compare the exponential (valence) part of  $V_{ani}$  in eq. (11) with the corresponding part of the empirically determined E6 potential. The empirical constant  $\rho_e = r_m/\alpha = 0.239$  Å is sufficiently different from the theoretical  $\rho_t = 0.283$  Å to produce considerably different results in the calculations presented below if  $\rho_t$  is replaced by  $\rho_e$ . We shall comment further on this point in section 4.

## 3. Anisotropic formalism

The introduction of  $V_{ani}$  depending on the rotational state of the molecules produces an admixture of rotational states in the single-particle and correlation functions. When  $V_{ani}$  is taken in the form of eq. (10), then  $\varphi_i(1)$  becomes

$$\varphi_i(1;\omega_1) = Y_0(\omega_1) \,\varphi_{0i}(1) + Y_2(\omega_1) \,\varphi_{2i}(1) \,, \quad (13)$$

so that we now have two functions  $\varphi_{0i}$  and  $\varphi_{2i}$  to determine. Rotational states with l > 2 are ignored;

this procedure is valid as long as the "anisotropy energy" is small compared to the excitation energy of these states.

Similarly, the single-particle self-consistent field now takes the form

$$u_{i}(1, \omega_{1}) = Y_{0}(\omega_{1}) Y_{0}(\omega_{1}) u_{0i}(1) + Y_{0}(\omega_{1}) Y_{2}(\omega_{1}) u_{2i}(1).$$
(14)

The Schrödinger equation for the single-particle function can be written as two equations:

$$\left(-\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2m}+u_{0i}Y_{0}^{2}\right)\varphi_{0i}+\frac{8}{7}u_{2i}Y_{0}^{2}\varphi_{2i}=\varepsilon\varphi_{0i}, (15a)$$

$$\left(-\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2m}+6B_{I}+u_{0i}Y_{0}^{2}+\left(\frac{4}{21}\sqrt{5}\right)u_{2i}Y_{0}^{2}\right)\varphi_{2i}$$

$$+u_{2i}Y_{0}^{2}\varphi_{0i}=\varepsilon\varphi_{2i}, (15b)$$

where we have used

$$Y_2 Y_2 = \left(\frac{18}{35} \sqrt{\frac{5}{9}}\right) Y_4 Y_0 + \left(\frac{4}{21} \sqrt{5}\right) Y_2 Y_0 + \frac{8}{7} Y_0 Y_0,$$

and  $Y_4$  has been neglected, which is consistent with our approach of considering only the l = 0, 2 rotational states.

The general form of the correlation function  $\chi_{ii}(1, 2; \omega_1, \omega_2)$  is

$$\chi_{ij}(1, 2; \omega_1, \omega_2) = 4\pi \left[ \chi_{ij}^{00}(1, 2) Y_0(\omega_1) Y_0(\omega_2) \right. \\ \left. + \chi_{ij}^{20}(1, 2) Y_2(\omega_1) Y_0(\omega_2) \right. \\ \left. + \chi_{ij}^{02}(1, 2) Y_0(\omega_1) Y_2(\omega_2) \right. \\ \left. + \chi_{ij}^{22}(1, 2) Y_2(\omega_1) Y_2(\omega_2) \right]$$
(16)

in our approximation. We remark that  $\chi_{ij}$  is part of the two-particle Green's function which has been factored into single-particle and correlation functions. This separation is not unique, and the form of  $\chi_{ij}$  in eq. (16) is a consequence of our previous treatment (EBNER and SUNG, 1971b).

The self-consistent field is given by the same equation as before

$$u_{i}(1, \omega_{1}) = \sum_{j}' \int V(1, 2) \chi_{ij}(1, 2; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) |\varphi_{j}(2, \omega_{2})|^{2} d^{3}r_{2} d\omega_{2}.$$
(17)

The equation of motion for  $\chi_{ij}$  is similar to eq. (4),

$$H_{ij}\,\chi_{ij}\,\varphi_i\,\varphi_j = \lambda_0\,\chi_{ij}\,\varphi_i\,\varphi_j,\qquad(18)$$

where

$$H_{ij} = -\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2m} - \frac{\nabla_{2}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2I}J_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2I}J_{2}^{2} + V(1,2;\omega_{1},\omega_{2}) + u_{i}(1,\omega_{1}) + u_{j}(2,\omega_{2}) + \Delta_{ij}(1,2) - \int \chi_{ij}, (1,\bar{2};\omega_{1},\bar{\omega}_{2}) V(1,\bar{2}) |\varphi_{j}(\bar{2},\bar{\omega}_{2})|^{2} d^{3}\bar{r}_{2} d\bar{\omega}_{2} - \int \chi_{ij}(\bar{1},2;\bar{\omega}_{1},\omega_{2}) V(\bar{1},2) |\varphi_{i}(\bar{1},\bar{\omega}_{1})|^{2} d^{3}\bar{r}_{1} d\bar{\omega}_{1},$$
(19)

where  $J_1^2$  and  $J_2^2$  are the internal angular momentum operators for molecules 1 and 2. The simultaneous solution of these equations plus the single-particle equation and the self-consistency condition eq. (17) is a formidable numerical problem. Since our interest at this time is primarily to examine the effect of the anisotropic interaction on the energy in the molecular phase rather than to pursue the question of a transition to the metallic phase, an expansion procedure will be used. We keep terms in  $V_{ani}$  through second order only. This means that we should find  $\varphi_{2i}$  and  $u_{2i}$  to first order in  $V_{ani}$  and  $\varphi_{0i}$  and  $u_{0i}$  to second order. If  $\varphi_{0i}$  is normalized to 1, then each single-particle wave function should be multiplied by  $N_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , where

$$N_{1} = \int \varphi_{0i}^{2}(1) d^{3}r_{1} + \int \varphi_{2i}^{2}(1) d^{3}r_{1}$$
$$= 1 + \int \varphi_{2i}^{2}(1) d^{3}r_{1}.$$
(20)

Also, to maintain the proper normalization of the twoparticle Green's function, the correlation function should be divided by  $N_2$ , where

$$N_{2} = 1 + 2 \int \left[ \chi_{ij}^{02}(1,2) \varphi_{0i}^{2}(1) \varphi_{0j}(2) \varphi_{2j}(2) \right. \\ \left. + \chi_{ij}^{20}(1,2) \varphi_{0j}^{2}(2) \varphi_{0i}(1) \varphi_{2i}(1) \right. \\ \left. + \chi_{ij}^{00}(1,2) \varphi_{0i}^{2}(1) \varphi_{2j}^{2}(2) \right] \mathrm{d}^{3}r_{1} \mathrm{d}^{3}r_{2}, \qquad (21)$$

where we have used

$$\int \chi_{ij}^{00}(1,2) \,\varphi_{0i}^2(1) \,\varphi_{0j}^2(2) \,\mathrm{d}^3 r_1 \,\mathrm{d}^3 r_2 = 1 \,.$$

The single-particle potential is given to the appropriate order in  $V_{ani}$  by

$$u_{0i}(1) = \sum_{j}' \int V^{00} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0j}^2 N_2^{-1} d^3 r_2$$

$$+2\sum_{j}^{\prime}\int V^{02} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0j} \varphi_{2j} d^{3}r_{2}$$

$$+2\sum_{j}^{\prime}\int V^{02} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0j} \varphi_{2j} d^{3}r_{2}$$

$$+\sum_{j}^{\prime}\int V^{00} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{2j}^{2} d^{3}r_{2}$$

$$+\sum_{j}^{\prime}\int V^{02} \chi_{ij}^{02} \varphi_{0j}^{2} d^{3}r_{2}$$

$$+\frac{7}{8}\sum_{j}^{\prime}\int V^{20} \chi_{ij}^{20} \varphi_{0j}^{2} d^{3}r_{2}$$

$$+\frac{7}{8}\sum_{j}^{\prime}\int V^{22} \chi_{ij}^{22} \varphi_{0j}^{2} d^{3}r_{2}$$
(22)

and

$$u_{2i}(1) = \sum_{j}' \int V^{20} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0j}^{2} d^{3}r_{2} + \sum_{j}' \int V^{00} \chi_{ij}^{20} \varphi_{0j}^{2} d^{3}r_{2}.$$
(23)

The expression for  $u_{0i}$  is correct to second order in  $V_{ani}$  while  $u_{2i}$  is first order. In these equations, corrections to first order in  $V_{ani}$  only are needed in  $\chi_{ij}^{ll'}$ . These are determined as follows: Eq. (18) is multiplied by  $Y_0(\omega_1) Y_0(\omega_2)$  and integrated over  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  to give

$$H_{ij}^{00} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} = \lambda_0 \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j},$$

where  $H_{ij}^{00}$  is the operator  $\{...\}$  in eq. (4). Thus  $\chi_{ij}^{00}$  is just the correlation function of EBNER and SUNG (1971a). Of course,  $\varphi_{0i}$  differs from the single-particle function when  $V_{ani}$  is not present, but the difference is secondorder and we shall ignore it. By also multiplying  $Y_2(\omega_1) Y_0(\omega_2), Y_0(\omega_1) Y_2(\omega_2)$  and  $Y_2(\omega_1) Y_2(\omega_2)$  into eq. (18) and integrating over  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , we obtain three equations for the anisotropic part of the correlation function,

$$H_{ij}^{00} \chi_{ij}^{20} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} + 6 B_I \chi_{ij}^{20} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} + 6 B_I \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{2i} \varphi_{0j} + H^{20} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} = \lambda_0 \chi_{ij}^{20} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j}, (24)$$

$$H_{ij}^{00} \chi_{ij}^{02} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} + 6 B_I \chi_{ij}^{02} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} + 6 B_I \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{2j} + H^{02} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} = \lambda_0 \chi_{ij}^{02} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j}$$
(25)

and

$$H_{ij}^{00} \chi_{ij}^{22} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} + 12 B_I \chi_{ij}^{22} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} + H^{22} \chi_{ij}^{00} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j} = \lambda_0 \chi_{ij}^{22} \varphi_{0i} \varphi_{0j}, (26)$$

where

$$H^{02} = H^{20} = \frac{V^{20}}{4\pi}, \quad H^{22} = \frac{V^{22}}{4\pi}$$